SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into among the United States of America, acting through the United States Department of Justice and on behalf of the Department of Energy (collectively the “United States”), Bechtel National, Inc. and Bechtel Corporation (collectively BNI) and URS Corporation (predecessor in interest to AECOM Global II, LLC) and URS Energy & Construction, Inc. (now known as AECOM Energy & Construction, Inc.) (collectively URS) (hereafter BNI and URS are collectively referred to as Defendants), and Gary Brunson, Donna Busche, and Walter Tamosaitis (collectively “Relators”) (hereafter the United States, the Defendants, and the Relators are collectively referred to as “the Parties”), through their authorized representatives.

RECITALS

A. Bechtel National, Inc. is a Nevada Corporation, with its principal place of business in the State of Virginia, and doing business in the State of Washington. Bechtel Corporation is incorporated in the State of Nevada. During the time period of the Covered Conduct described below, URS Corporation was incorporated in Delaware, headquartered in California and did business in the State of Washington. URS Energy & Construction, Inc. is an Ohio Corporation, headquartered in the State of Colorado, and doing business in the State of Washington.

B. The Hanford Site, located in southeastern Washington State, was the largest of three defense production sites in the United States. As a result of these activities, 56 million gallons of radioactive and chemical wastes are now stored in 177 underground tanks on the Hanford Site. To address this challenge, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) contracted BNI to design and build the Hanford Waste Treatment and
Immobilization Plant (WTP), pursuant to Contract No. DE-AC27-01RV14136 (WTP Contract), which will use vitrification to immobilize most of Hanford's waste. URS is a major BNI subcontractor and performs a significant amount of the work. BNI and URS personnel work closely together on the WTP Contract.


D. The United States partially intervened in the Civil Action on November 1, 2016.

E. The United States contends that it has certain claims against BNI and URS relating to their activities on the WTP Contract, because it alleges BNI and URS submitted, or caused to be submitted, false claims for payment to the United States as a result of the following conduct during the period from January 1, 2001, to June 30, 2013:

1. The United States contends that BNI personnel and agents acting on its behalf engaged in actions to influence or attempt to influence federal officials in connection with the WTP Contract (the "lobbying activities"), that BNI charged the cost of such lobbying activities in connection with the WTP Contract to the WTP Contract directly and other federal government contracts indirectly, and that BNI failed to submit required declarations concerning such lobbying activities, in violation of the Federal Acquisition Regulation cost principles and the Byrd Amendment, 31 U.S.C. § 1352.
2. The United States contends that BNI, URS and BNI's subcontractors and sub-tier subcontractors failed to comply with the requirements of NQA-1 and other nuclear quality requirements of the WTP Contract in connection with the procurement, fabrication and installation of vessels and piping to be installed in the black cell and "hard-to-reach" areas of the WTP; and that they misrepresented their compliance with these requirements to DOE.

3. The United States contends that BNI, URS and BNI's subcontractors and sub-tier subcontractors failed to comply with the requirements of NQA-1 in connection with (i) the development of the QRA software, (ii) testing related to the HPAV technical issue, (iii) testing related to the M2 technical issue; and (iv) testing related to the M12 technical issue; and that they misrepresented their compliance with these NQA-1 requirements to DOE.

4. The United States contends that BNI, URS and BNI's subcontractors and sub-tier subcontractors failed to comply with the requirements of NQA-1 including those relating to commercial grade dedication of material and services procured under the WTP Contract, and that BNI failed to flow down appropriate NQA-1 requirements to subcontractors and sub-tier subcontractors and failed to oversee such subcontractors' and sub-tier subcontractors' compliance with NQA-1.

The conduct described in this Paragraph E is referred to below as the Covered Conduct.

F. This Settlement Agreement is made in compromise of disputed claims and is neither an admission of liability by Defendants nor a concession by the United States that its claims are not well founded. BNI and URS expressly deny the allegations of the United States and Relators as set forth herein and in the Civil Action.

G. Relators claim entitlement under 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d) to a share of the proceeds of this Settlement Agreement and to Relators' reasonable expenses, attorneys' fees and costs.
H. To avoid the delay, uncertainty, inconvenience, and expense of protracted litigation of the above claims, and in consideration of the mutual promises and obligations of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties agree and covenant as follows:

**TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

1. Defendants shall pay to the United States a total of One Hundred Twenty Five Million Dollars ($125,000,000.00) (the Settlement Amount) and interest on the Settlement Amount at a rate of 2% per annum from November 1, 2016, until payment in full, by electronic funds transfer pursuant to written instructions to be provided by the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington. The Settlement Amount shall be paid in the principal amounts and within the periods set forth below:

   Payment from BNI: $67,500,000.00 (plus accrued interest thereon) (within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date of this Agreement)

   Payment from URS: $57,500,000.00 (plus accrued interest thereon) (within forty-five (45) days after the Effective Date of this Agreement)

The separate payments set forth above for BNI and URS do not affect their respective joint and several liability for the Settlement Amount.

2. Subject to the exceptions in Paragraph 3 (concerning excluded claims) below, and conditioned upon Defendants’ full payment of the Settlement Amount, the United States releases Defendants, together with each of their current and former direct and indirect parent corporations; direct and indirect subsidiaries; brother or sister corporations; divisions and affiliates; current or former corporate owners; and the corporate successors and assigns of any of them (the “Corporate Released Parties”), from any civil or administrative monetary

3. Notwithstanding the release given in paragraph 2 of this Agreement, or any other term of this Agreement, the following claims of the United States are specifically reserved and are not released:

   a. Any liability arising under Title 26, U.S. Code (Internal Revenue Code);

   b. Any criminal liability;

   c. Except as explicitly stated in this Agreement, any administrative liability, including the suspension and debarment rights of any federal agency;

   d. Any liability to the United States (or its agencies) for any conduct other than the Covered Conduct;

   e. Any liability based upon obligations created by this Agreement;

   f. Any liability of individuals;

   g. Any liability for express or implied warranty claims or other claims for defective or deficient products or services, including quality of goods and services, except to the extent of the Covered Conduct set forth in paragraphs E.2 and E.3 above and released in paragraph 2, and except to the extent of the Covered Conduct set forth in
paragraph E.4 above and released in paragraph 2 in connection with procurements for NQA-1 material or equipment made on or before June 30, 2013;

h. Any liability for failure to deliver goods or services due, except to the extent of the Covered Conduct set forth in paragraphs E.2 and E.3 above and released in paragraph 2, and except to the extent of the Covered Conduct set forth in paragraph E.4 and released in paragraph 2 above in connection with procurements for NQA-1 material or equipment made on or before June 30, 2013; and

i. Any liability for personal injury or property damage or for other consequential damages arising from the Covered Conduct.

4. Conditioned upon BNI’s and URS’s full payment of the Settlement Amount, each of the Relators, for themselves and their heirs, successors, attorneys, agents, and assigns, releases the Corporate Released Parties, as well as any current or former owners, officers, directors, trustees, shareholders, employees, executives, agents, or affiliates of the Corporate Released Parties from (a) any civil monetary claim the Relators have or may have for the claims set forth in the Civil Action and the Covered Conduct under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733, up until the date of this Agreement; and (b) all liability, claims, demands, actions, or causes of action whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent, in law or in equity, in contract or in tort, under any federal or state statute or regulation or doctrine, that Relators, their heirs, successors, attorneys, agents, and assigns otherwise have brought or would have standing to bring as of the date of this Agreement, including any liability to Relators arising from
or relating to the claims Relators asserted or could have asserted in the Civil Action, up until the date of this Agreement. Relators each further represent that none of them know of any conduct by the Corporate Released Parties or any current or former owners, officers, directors, trustees, shareholders, employees, executives, agents, or affiliates of the Corporate Released Parties that would constitute a violation of the civil False Claims Act other than the claims set forth in the Civil Action and the Covered Conduct, and Relators each acknowledge and agree that their representations are a material inducement to BNI’s and URS’s willingness to enter into this Agreement.

5. Defendants waive and shall not assert any defenses Defendants may have to any criminal prosecution or administrative action relating to the Covered Conduct that may be based in whole or in part on a contention that, under the Double Jeopardy Clause in the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution, or under the Excessive Fines Clause in the Eighth Amendment of the Constitution, this Agreement bars a remedy sought in such criminal prosecution or administrative action. Nothing in this paragraph or any other provision of this Agreement constitutes an agreement by the United States concerning the characterization of the Settlement Amount for purposes of the Internal Revenue laws, Title 26 of the United States Code.

6. Defendants fully and finally release the United States, its agencies, officers, agents, employees, and servants, from any claims (including attorney’s fees, costs, and expenses of every kind and however denominated) that Defendants have asserted, could have asserted, or may assert in the future against the United States, its agencies, officers, agents, employees, and servants, related to the Covered Conduct and the United States’ investigation and prosecution thereof.
7. Defendants fully and finally release the Relators, their heirs, successors, attorneys, agents, and assigns from any claims (including attorney’s fees, costs, and expenses of every kind and however denominated) that Defendants have asserted, could have asserted, or may assert in the future against the Relators related to the Covered Conduct and the Relators’ investigation and prosecution thereof.

8. a. Unallowable Costs Defined: All costs (as defined in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, 48 C.F.R. § 31.205-47) incurred by or on behalf of Defendants, and their present or former officers, directors, employees, shareholders, and agents in connection with:

   (1) the matters covered by this Agreement;

   (2) the United States’ audit(s) and civil investigation(s) of the matters covered by this Agreement;

   (3) Defendants’ investigation, defense, and corrective actions undertaken in response to the United States’ audit(s) and civil and any criminal investigation(s) in connection with the matters covered by this Agreement (including attorney’s fees);

   (4) the negotiation and performance of this Settlement Agreement;

   (5) the payment Defendants make to the United States pursuant to this Agreement,

are unallowable costs for government contracting purposes (hereinafter referred to as
Unallowable Costs).

b. Future Treatment of Unallowable Costs: Unallowable Costs will be separately determined and accounted for by Defendants, and Defendants shall not charge such Unallowable Costs directly or indirectly to any contract with the United States.

c. Treatment of Unallowable Costs Previously Submitted for Payment: Within 90 days of the Effective Date of this Agreement, Defendants shall identify and repay by adjustment to future claims for payment or otherwise any Unallowable Costs included in payments previously sought by Defendants or any of their subsidiaries or affiliates from the United States. Defendants agree that the United States, at a minimum, shall be entitled to recoup from Defendants any overpayment plus applicable interest and penalties as a result of the inclusion of such Unallowable Costs on previously-submitted requests for payment. The United States, including the Department of Justice and/or the affected agencies, reserves its rights to audit, examine, or re-examine Defendants’ books and records and to disagree with any calculations submitted by Defendants or any of their subsidiaries or affiliates regarding any Unallowable Costs included in payments previously sought by Defendants, or the effect of any such Unallowable Costs on the amount of such payments.

9. Upon receipt of the payment described in Paragraph 1, above, the Parties shall promptly sign and file in the Civil Action a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal of the Civil Action pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1). Such dismissal will be subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and with prejudice to the entire action as to the Relators and with prejudice to the United States to the extent of the Covered Conduct and without Prejudice as to the remainder of the Civil Action. Pursuant to this dismissal, the Court will explicitly retain jurisdiction to determine relators’ attorneys’ fees and share of the proceeds pursuant
to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d).

10. This Agreement is intended to be for the benefit of the Parties and the releasees identified in paragraphs 2, 4, 6 and 7 only.

11. Each Party shall bear its own legal and other costs incurred in connection with this matter, including the preparation and performance of this Agreement, except as provided in 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d).

12. Each party and signatory to this Agreement represents that it freely and voluntarily enters in to this Agreement without any degree of duress or compulsion.

13. This Agreement is governed by the laws of the United States. The exclusive jurisdiction and venue for any dispute relating to this Agreement is the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington. For purposes of construing this Agreement, this Agreement shall be deemed to have been drafted by all Parties to this Agreement and shall not, therefore, be construed against any Party for that reason in any subsequent dispute.

14. This Agreement constitutes the complete agreement between the Parties. This Agreement may not be amended except by written consent of the Parties.

15. The undersigned counsel represent and warrant that they are fully authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of the persons and entities indicated below.

16. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which constitutes an original and all of which constitute one and the same Agreement.

17. This Agreement is binding on Defendants’ successors, transferees, heirs, and assigns. This Agreement is binding on the Relators, theirs heirs, successors, transferees, and assigns.
18. All parties consent to the United States’ disclosure of this Agreement, and information about this Agreement, to the public.

19. This Agreement is effective on the date of signature of the last signatory to the Agreement (Effective Date of this Agreement). Facsimiles of signatures shall constitute acceptable, binding signatures for purposes of this Agreement.
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

DATED: 11-22-16

By: [Signature]
TYLER H.L. TORNABENE
Assistant U.S. Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
Eastern District of Washington

DATED: 11-22-16

By: [Signature]
DON WILLIAMSON
Senior Trial Counsel
KATE BUSHMAN SMITH
ALEXANDRA N. WILSON
Trial Attorneys
Commercial Litigation Branch, Civil Division
United States Department of Justice
URS DEFENDANTS

DATED: 11/22/2016

By: [Signature]
David Gian
President
AECOM GLOBAL II, LLC (successor in interest to
URS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation)

DATED: 11/22/2016

By: [Signature]
Keenan Driscoll
Treasurer
AECOM ENERGY & CONSTRUCTION, INC.
(formerly known as URS Energy & Construction,
Inc.)

DATED: [Redacted]

By: [Signature]
JAMIE GORELICK
JONATHAN G. CEDARBAUM
JEANNIE RHEE
WilmerHale

Counsel for AECOM Global II, LLC and AECOM
Energy & Construction, Inc.
DATED: __________  
By: ____________________
Name ____________________
Title ____________________
BECHTEL NATIONAL, INC.

DATED: 11/22/16  
By: ____________________
Name MICHAEL BAILEY
Title SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
BECHTEL CORPORATION

DATED: 11/22/16  
By: ____________________
PETER B. HUTT II
Counsel for Bechtel National, Inc. and Bechtel Corporation
BECHTEL DEFENDANTS

DATED: 11/22/2016

By: [Signature]
Name Barbara Rusinko
Title President
BECHTEL NATIONAL, INC.

DATED: ______

By: ______________________
Name ______________________
Title ______________________
BECHTEL CORPORATION

DATED: ______

By: ______________________
PETER B. HUTT II
Covington & Burling LLP

Counsel for Bechtel National, Inc. and Bechtel Corporation
DATED: 1/22/14

By: [Signature]
DONNA BUSCHE

DATED: 

By: 
HUGH P. LAMBERT
EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
The Lambert Firm, PLC

Counsel for Donna Busche
DATED: 11.23.14

By:

HUGH P. LAMBERT
EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
The Lambert Firm, PLC

Counsel for Donna Busche
RELATOR WALTER TAMOSAITIS

DATED: _______  By: _______________________
WALTER TAMOSAITIS

DATED: 11.22.14  By: _______________________
HUGH P. LAMBERT
EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
The Lambert Firm, PLC

Counsel for Walter Tamosaitis
RELATOR WALTER TAMOSAITIS

DATED: 3/27/16

By: WALTER TAMOSAITIS

DATED: __________

By: HUGH P. LAMBERT
EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
The Lambert Firm, PLC

Counsel for Walter Tamosaitis
Dated: Nov 22, 2016

By: GARY BRUNSON

Dated: 

By: 

HUGH P. LAMBERT
EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
The Lambert Firm, PLC

Counsel for Gary Brunson
RELATOR GARY BRUNSON

DATED: ________

By: ______________________
GARY BRUNSON

DATED: 11.22.14

By: ______________________
HUGH P. LAMBERT
EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
The Lambert Firm, PLC

Counsel for Gary Brunson